

PhD course on Mathematical Fuzzy Logic: 2nd lesson

Carles Noguera i Clofent

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Siena
Siena, Italy
May - June 2009

- $\mathbf{G} = \text{IPC} + (p \rightarrow q) \vee (q \rightarrow p)$
- $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathbf{G}} \varphi$ iff $\Gamma \cup \{(p \rightarrow q) \vee (q \rightarrow p)\} \vdash_{\text{IPC}} \varphi$
- $\mathbb{G} = \text{Alg}(\mathbf{G}) = \{\mathcal{A} \in \text{HAA} \mid \mathcal{A} \text{ satisfies } \tau[(p \rightarrow q) \vee (q \rightarrow p)]\}$.
- $\Pi \models_{\mathbf{G}} \alpha \approx \beta$ iff $\Pi \cup \tau[(p \rightarrow q) \vee (q \rightarrow p)] \models_{\text{HAA}} \alpha \approx \beta$
- We obtain the same relation between the logic and the algebraic semantics as before:
 - 1 $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathbf{G}} \varphi$ iff $\tau[\Gamma] \models_{\mathbf{G}} \tau(\varphi)$
 - 2 $\Pi \models_{\mathbf{G}} \varphi \approx \psi$ iff $\rho[\Pi] \vdash_{\mathbf{G}} \rho(\varphi \approx \psi)$
 - 3 $\varphi \vdash_{\mathbf{G}} \rho(\tau(\varphi))$ and $\rho(\tau(\varphi)) \vdash_{\mathbf{G}} \varphi$
 - 4 $\varphi \approx \psi \models_{\mathbf{G}} \tau(\rho(\varphi \approx \psi))$ and $\tau(\rho(\varphi \approx \psi)) \models_{\mathbf{G}} \varphi \approx \psi$

\mathbb{G} is the equivalent algebraic semantics of \mathbf{G} .

The elements of \mathbb{G} are called *G-algebras*.

Theorem ((Global) Deduction Theorem)

For every set of formulae $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi, \psi\}$,

$$\Gamma, \varphi \vdash_G \psi \text{ iff } \Gamma \vdash_G \varphi \rightarrow \psi$$

Lemma

*Given $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{H}\mathbb{A}$ and $a \in A$, let $Fi(a)$ be the filter generated by a .
Then $Fi(a) = \{x \in A \mid a \leq x\}$.*

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{G}$. Then: \mathcal{A} is finitely subdirectly irreducible iff it is linearly ordered (a G -chain).

Corollary

Every G-algebra is representable as a subdirect product of G-chains.

Corollary

For every $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq \text{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\Gamma \vdash_G \varphi$ iff $\Gamma \models_{\{\text{G-chains}\}} \varphi$.

Corollary

For every $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq \text{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\Gamma \vdash_G \varphi$ iff $\Gamma \models_{\{\text{countable G-chains}\}} \varphi$.

Finite G-chains

For every $n \geq 1$ we define:

$$\mathcal{G}_n = \langle \{0, \dots, n-1\}, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, 0, n-1 \rangle$$

$$a \wedge b = \min\{a, b\}$$

$$a \vee b = \max\{a, b\}$$

$$a \rightarrow b = \begin{cases} n-1 & \text{if } a \leq b, \\ b & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Every finite G-chain with exactly n elements is isomorphic to \mathcal{G}_n .

Denumerable G-chain

$$\mathcal{G}_\omega = \langle \omega \cup \{\omega\}, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, 0, \omega \rangle$$

$$a \wedge b = \min\{a, b\}$$

$$a \vee b = \max\{a, b\}$$

$$a \rightarrow b = \begin{cases} \omega & \text{if } a \leq b, \\ b & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Completeness theorems

Theorem

For every finite $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq \text{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathbb{G}} \varphi$ iff $\Gamma \models_{\{\mathcal{G}_n | n \geq 1\}} \varphi$.

Corollary

$\mathbb{G} = \mathbf{V}(\{\mathcal{G}_n \mid n \geq 1\}) = \mathbf{Q}(\{\mathcal{G}_n \mid n \geq 1\})$.

Theorem

For every $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq \text{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathbb{G}} \varphi$ iff $\Gamma \models_{\mathcal{G}_\omega} \varphi$.

Corollary

$\mathbb{G} = \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{G}_\omega) = \mathbf{Q}(\mathcal{G}_\omega)$.

Rational G-chain

$$[0, 1]_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbb{Q}} = \langle [0, 1]^{\mathbb{Q}}, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle$$

$$a \wedge b = \min\{a, b\}$$

$$a \vee b = \max\{a, b\}$$

$$a \rightarrow b = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \leq b, \\ b & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Real G-chain

$$[0, 1]_{\mathbf{G}} = \langle [0, 1], \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle$$

$$a \wedge b = \min\{a, b\}$$

$$a \vee b = \max\{a, b\}$$

$$a \rightarrow b = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \leq b, \\ b & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem

For every $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq \text{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\Gamma \vdash_G \varphi$ iff $\Gamma \models_{[0,1]_G^{\mathbb{Q}}} \varphi$ iff $\Gamma \models_{[0,1]_G} \varphi$.

Theorem

\mathbb{G} is a locally finite variety, i.e. every finite subset of a G -algebra generates a finite subalgebra.

Corollary

All varieties of G -algebras are generated by finite G -chains.

Theorem (Exercise 5)

The subvarieties of \mathbb{G} are exactly:

$\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{G}_1) \subseteq \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{G}_2) \subseteq \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{G}_3) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{G}_n) \subseteq \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{G}_{n+1}) \subseteq \dots \mathbb{G}$. $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{G}_1)$ is the trivial variety and $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{G}_2)$ is (termwise equivalent to) the variety of Boolean algebras. For every $n \geq 3$, an axiomatization (relative to \mathbb{G}) for $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{G}_n)$ is given by the equation:

$\bigvee_{i < n} (x_i \rightarrow x_{i+1}) \approx \bar{1}$. The inclusions in the chain of varieties are strict.

- 1913 L.E.J. Brouwer proposes intuitionism as a new (genuine) form of mathematics.
- 1920 Jan Łukasiewicz publishes the first work ever on many-valued logic (a three-valued logic to deal with future contingents).
- 1922 He generalizes it to an n -valued logic for each $n \geq 3$.
- 1928 Heyting considers the logic behind intuitionism and endowes it with a Hilbert-style calculus.
- 1930 Together with Alfred Tarski, Łukasiewicz generalizes his logics to a $[0, 1]$ -valued logic. They also provide a Hilbert-style calculus with 5 axioms and *modus ponens* and conjecture that it is complete w.r.t. the infinitely-valued logic.
- 1932 Kurt Gödel studies an infinite family of finite linearly ordered matrices for intuitionistic logic. They are not a complete semantics.

- 1934 Gentzen introduces natural deduction and sequent calculus for intuitionistic logic.
- 1935 Mordchaj Wajsberg claims to have proved Łukasiewicz's conjecture, but he never shows the proof.
- 1937 Tarski and Stone develop topological interpretations of intuitionistic logic.
- 1958 Rose and Rosser publish a proof of completeness of Łukasiewicz logic based on syntactical methods.
- 1959 Meredith shows that the fifth axiom of Łukasiewicz logic is redundant.
- 1959 Chang publishes a proof of completeness of Łukasiewicz logic based on algebraic methods.

- 1959 Michael Dummett resumes Gödel's work from 1932 and proposes a denumerable linearly ordered matrix for intuitionism. He gives a correct and complete Hilbert-style calculus for this matrix which turns out to be an axiomatic extension of IPC: Gödel-Dummett logic.
- 1963 Hay shows the finite strong completeness of Łukasiewicz logic.
- 1965 Saul Kripke introduces his relational semantics for intuitionistic logic.
- 1965 Lotfi Zadeh proposes Fuzzy Set Theory (FST) as a mathematical treatment of vagueness and imprecision. FST becomes an extremely popular paradigm for engineering applications, known also as *Fuzzy Logic*.
- 1969 Goguen shows how to combine Zadeh's fuzzy sets and Łukasiewicz logic to solve some vagueness logical paradoxes.

- 1996 Hájek, Godo and Esteva define and axiomatize a new $[0, 1]$ -valued system: Product logic.
- 1998 In the foundational monograph of Mathematical Fuzzy Logic (*Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic*) Petr Hájek deals with many particular fuzzy logics including: Łukasiewicz, Gödel-Dummett and Product logics.

3-valued logic

Truth-values = $\{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\}$ (false, possible and true)

\rightarrow	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1
0	1	1	1
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1
1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1

	\neg
0	1
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
1	0

n -valued logic \mathbf{L}_n

For each $n \geq 4$, truth-values = $\{0, \frac{1}{n-1}, \dots, \frac{n-2}{n-1}, 1\}$

$$a \rightarrow b = \min\{1, 1 - a + b\}$$

$$\neg a = 1 - a$$

Algebra: $\mathbf{L}_n = \langle \{0, \frac{1}{n-1}, \dots, \frac{n-2}{n-1}, 1\}, \rightarrow, \neg \rangle$

$\Gamma \models_{\mathbf{L}_n} \varphi$ iff for every \mathbf{L}_n -evaluation e such that $e[\Gamma] \subseteq \{1\}$,
 $e(\varphi) = 1$.

Infinitely-valued logic \mathbb{L}

Truth-values: $[0, 1]$

$$a \rightarrow b = \min\{1, 1 - a + b\}$$

$$\neg a = 1 - a$$

Algebra: $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}} = \langle [0, 1], \rightarrow, \neg \rangle$

$\Gamma \models_{\mathbb{L}} \varphi$ iff for every $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}}$ -evaluation e such that $e[\Gamma] \subseteq \{1\}$,
 $e(\varphi) = 1$.

Defined connectives:

$$a \& b = \neg(a \rightarrow \neg b)$$

$$a \vee b = (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b$$

$$a \wedge b = \neg(\neg a \vee \neg b)$$

Exercise 6

$$a \& b = \max\{0, a + b - 1\}$$

$$a \vee b = \max\{a, b\}$$

$$a \wedge b = \min\{a, b\}$$

Splitting of conjunction properties

- 1 $a \& b \leq c$ iff $b \leq a \rightarrow c$ (residuation)
- 2 $a \rightarrow b = 1$ iff $a \wedge b = a$ iff $a \leq b$ ($\wedge = \min$)

Axiomatic system

$$(Ł1) \quad \varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$$

$$(Ł2) \quad (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow ((\psi \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \chi))$$

$$(Ł3) \quad ((\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow ((\psi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow \varphi)$$

$$(Ł4) \quad (\neg\psi \rightarrow \neg\varphi) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$$

$$(Ł5) \quad ((\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)) \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$$

Inference rule: *modus ponens*

Hypothesis

For every $\{\varphi\} \in \text{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi$ iff $\models_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi$

Theorem (Local Deduction Theorem)

For every set of formulae $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi, \psi\}$, there is $n \geq 1$ such that:

$$\Gamma, \varphi \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \psi \text{ iff } \Gamma \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi \& \dots^n \& \varphi \rightarrow \psi$$

Alternative axiomatics [Hájek 1998]

$$(B1) \quad (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow ((\psi \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \chi))$$

$$(B2) \quad \varphi \& \psi \rightarrow \psi$$

$$(B3) \quad \varphi \& \psi \rightarrow \psi \& \varphi$$

$$(B4) \quad \varphi \& (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \psi \& (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$$

$$(B5a) \quad \varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow (\varphi \& \psi \rightarrow \chi)$$

$$(B5b) \quad (\varphi \& \psi \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow \varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \chi)$$

$$(B6) \quad (((\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow (((\psi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow \chi))$$

$$(B7) \quad \bar{0} \rightarrow \varphi$$

$$(B8) \quad ((\varphi \rightarrow \bar{0}) \rightarrow \bar{0}) \rightarrow \varphi$$

Inference rule: *modus ponens*

Defined connectives: $\neg\varphi = \varphi \rightarrow \bar{0}$, $\bar{1} = \neg\bar{0}$,
 $\varphi \vee \psi = (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow \psi$, $\varphi \wedge \psi = \varphi \& (\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$.

Theorem

$$\vdash_{\mathbf{L}} (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \vee (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$$

Algebraization of Łukasiewicz logic

Definition

An algebra $\mathcal{A} = \langle A, \&^{\mathcal{A}}, \rightarrow^{\mathcal{A}}, \wedge^{\mathcal{A}}, \vee^{\mathcal{A}}, \bar{0}^{\mathcal{A}}, \bar{1}^{\mathcal{A}} \rangle$ is an **MV-algebra** if

- 1 $\langle A, \wedge^{\mathcal{A}}, \vee^{\mathcal{A}}, \bar{0}^{\mathcal{A}}, \bar{1}^{\mathcal{A}} \rangle$ is a bounded lattice
- 2 $\langle A, \&^{\mathcal{A}}, \bar{1}^{\mathcal{A}} \rangle$ is an ordered commutative monoid
- 3 $\rightarrow^{\mathcal{A}}$ is the residuum of $\&^{\mathcal{A}}$:

$$a \&^{\mathcal{A}} c \leq b \text{ iff } c \leq a \rightarrow^{\mathcal{A}} b$$
- 4 \mathcal{A} satisfies the **prelinearity equation**: $(x \rightarrow y) \vee (y \rightarrow x) \approx \bar{1}$.
- 5 \mathcal{A} satisfies the **divisibility equation**: $x \& (x \rightarrow y) \approx x \wedge y$.
- 6 \mathcal{A} satisfies the **involution equation**: $(x \rightarrow \bar{0}) \rightarrow \bar{0} \approx x$.

where the relation \leq is the lattice ordering. The negation operation is defined as $\neg^{\mathcal{A}} a = a \rightarrow^{\mathcal{A}} \bar{0}^{\mathcal{A}}$. If the order is linear, we call it *MV-chain*.

- For every $n \geq 2$, $\mathbf{L}_n = \langle \{0, \frac{1}{n-1}, \dots, \frac{n-2}{n-1}, 1\}, \&, \rightarrow, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1 \rangle$ is an MV-chain.
- $[0, 1]_{\mathbf{L}} = \langle [0, 1], \&, \rightarrow, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1 \rangle$ is an MV-chain.

Theorem

The class of all MV-algebras, MV , is a variety.

Definition

Given $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq \text{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}$, we define the consequence relation $\Gamma \models_{\text{MV}} \varphi$ iff for every $\mathcal{A} \in \text{MV}$ and every \mathcal{A} -evaluation e : if $e[\Gamma] \subseteq \{\bar{1}^{\mathcal{A}}\}$, then $e(\varphi) = \bar{1}^{\mathcal{A}}$.

$\langle \mathcal{L}, \models_{\text{MV}} \rangle$ is a finitary logic:

- 1 $\varphi \models_{\text{MV}} \varphi$ (Reflexivity)
- 2 If $\Gamma \subseteq \Delta$ and $\Gamma \models_{\text{MV}} \varphi$, then $\Delta \models_{\text{MV}} \varphi$ (Monotonicity)
- 3 If $\Gamma \models_{\text{MV}} \varphi$ and for all $\psi \in \Gamma$, $\Delta \models_{\text{MV}} \psi$, then $\Delta \models_{\text{MV}} \varphi$ (Cut)
- 4 If $\Gamma \models_{\text{MV}} \varphi$ and σ is a substitution, then $\sigma[\Gamma] \models_{\text{MV}} \sigma(\varphi)$ (Structurality)
- 5 If $\Gamma \models_{\text{MV}} \varphi$, then there is a finite $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$ such that $\Delta \models_{\text{MV}} \varphi$ (Finitarity)

Algebraic completeness

Theorem (Exercise 7)

For every $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq \text{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi$ iff $\Gamma \models_{\text{MV}} \varphi$.

- 1 For every $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq \text{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}$,
$$\Gamma \vdash_{\mathbb{L}} \varphi \text{ iff } \{\psi \approx \bar{1} \mid \psi \in \Gamma\} \models_{\text{MV}} \varphi \approx \bar{1}$$
- 2 For every $\Pi \cup \{\varphi \approx \psi\} \subseteq \text{Eq}_{\mathcal{L}}$,
$$\Pi \models_{\text{MV}} \varphi \approx \psi \text{ iff } \{\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta \mid \alpha \approx \beta \in \Pi\} \vdash_{\mathbb{L}} \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$$
- 3 For every $\varphi \in \text{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}$,
$$\varphi \vdash_{\mathbb{L}} \varphi \leftrightarrow \bar{1} \text{ and } \varphi \leftrightarrow \bar{1} \vdash_{\mathbb{L}} \varphi$$
- 4 For every $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}$,
$$\varphi \approx \psi \models_{\text{MV}} \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi \approx \bar{1} \text{ and } \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi \approx \bar{1} \models_{\text{MV}} \varphi \approx \psi$$

Translations:

- $\tau : \varphi \mapsto \varphi \approx \bar{1}$
- $\rho : \alpha \approx \beta \mapsto \alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$

MV-algebras are the **equivalent algebraic semantics** of \mathbb{L} .

Filters

Definition

Let \mathcal{A} be an MV-algebra. A set $F \subseteq A$ is a **filter** iff:

- 1 $\bar{1} \in F$
- 2 if $a, b \in F$, then $a \& b \in F$
- 3 if $a \in F$ and $a \leq b$, then $b \in F$

Definition

Let \mathcal{A} be an MV-algebra. A set $F \subseteq A$ is an **implicative filter** iff:

- 1 $\bar{1} \in F$
- 2 if $a, a \rightarrow b \in F$, then $b \in F$

Definition

Let \mathcal{A} be an MV-algebra. A set $F \subseteq A$ is a **logical filter** iff for every $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq \text{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}$ for every e \mathcal{A} -evaluation, if $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi$ and $e[\Gamma] \subseteq F$, then $e(\varphi) \in F$.

filters = implicative filters = logical filters

$\mathcal{A} \in \mathbf{MV}$, $F \subseteq A$ filter. A congruence θ of \mathcal{A} is **compatible** with F iff:

if $\langle a, b \rangle \in \theta$ and $a \in F$, then $b \in F$

Proposition

Given $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbf{MV}$ and a filter $F \subseteq A$, we define a relation:

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}(F) = \{ \langle a, b \rangle \in A^2 \mid a \rightarrow b, b \rightarrow a \in F \}$$

Then $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}(F)$ is a congruence of \mathcal{A} , $F = \bar{1} / \Omega_{\mathcal{A}}(F)$. Moreover $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}(F)$ is compatible with F and it is the greatest one with this property.

Proposition

Let \mathcal{A} be an MV-algebra and θ a congruence of \mathcal{A} . Then $\bar{1}/\theta$ is a filter of \mathcal{A} .

Proposition

Let \mathcal{A} be an MV-algebra and θ a congruence of \mathcal{A} . Then $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{1}/\theta) = \theta$.

Theorem

$\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{MV}$. $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}$ is an isomorphism between the lattice of filters and the lattice of congruences of \mathcal{A} .

Theorem

$\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{MV}$, $F \subseteq A$ filter. Then for every $a, b \in A$: $\langle a, b \rangle \in \Omega_{\mathcal{A}}(F)$ iff for every formula $\varphi(x, \vec{z})$, and $\vec{c} \in A^{<\omega}$ we have $\varphi^{\mathcal{A}}(a, \vec{c}) \in F$ iff $\varphi^{\mathcal{A}}(b, \vec{c}) \in F$.

$\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}(F)$ is called the **Leibniz congruence**.

Lemma

Given $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbf{MV}$ and $a \in A$, let $Fi(a)$ be the filter generated by a . Then $Fi(a) = \{x \in A \mid a^n \leq x \text{ for some } n \geq 1\}$.

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbf{MV}$. Then: \mathcal{A} is finitely subdirectly irreducible iff it is linearly ordered (an MV-chain).

Corollary

Every MV-algebra is representable as a subdirect product of MV-chains.

Corollary

For every $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq \text{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi$ iff $\Gamma \models_{\{\text{MV-chains}\}} \varphi$.

Corollary

For every $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq \text{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi$ iff $\Gamma \models_{\{\text{countable MV-chains}\}} \varphi$.

Definition

An *Abelian ℓ -group* is a first-order structure $\mathcal{G} = \langle G, +, -, 0, \leq \rangle$ where $\langle G, +, -, 0 \rangle$ is an Abelian group and \leq is a lattice order such that $+$ is monotonic.

Theorem (Gurevich - Kokorin)

Let $\mathcal{R} = \langle \mathbb{R}, +, -, 0, \leq \rangle$ be the Abelian ℓ -group of reals with the sum and let $\varphi(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ be a quantifier-free formula in the language of ℓ -groups. If $\mathcal{R} \models \forall x_0 \dots \forall x_n \varphi(x_0, \dots, x_n)$, then $\mathcal{G} \models \forall x_0 \dots \forall x_n \varphi(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ for every linearly ordered Abelian ℓ -group \mathcal{G} .

Definition

Let $\mathcal{G} = \langle G, +, -, 0, \leq \rangle$ be an Abelian linearly ordered ℓ -group and $e \in G$ such that $e > 0$. We define an algebra $MV(\mathcal{G}, e) = \langle [0, e], \rightarrow, 0 \rangle$ where: $a \rightarrow b = 1$ if $a \leq b$, and $a \rightarrow b = e - a + b$ otherwise.

Theorem

For every Abelian linearly ordered ℓ -group \mathcal{G} and every $e > 0$, $MV(\mathcal{G}, e)$ is an MV-chain. Moreover, for every MV-chain \mathcal{A} there is an Abelian linearly ordered ℓ -group \mathcal{G} and $e > 0$ such that $\mathcal{A} = MV(\mathcal{G}, e)$.

Finite strong standard completeness

Theorem

For every finite $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq \text{Fm}_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi$ iff $\Gamma \models_{[0,1]_{\mathcal{L}}} \varphi$.

The same result holds for $[0, 1]_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Corollary

$$\text{MV} = \mathbf{V}([0, 1]_{\mathcal{L}}) = \mathbf{Q}([0, 1]_{\mathcal{L}}) = \mathbf{V}([0, 1]_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathbf{Q}([0, 1]_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathbb{Q}})$$

Failure of strong standard completeness

- $\varphi \oplus \psi := \neg(\neg\varphi \& \neg\psi)$
- $a \oplus b = \min\{a + b, 1\}$
- $\Sigma = \{p \oplus \dots^n \oplus p \rightarrow q \mid n \geq 1\} \cup \{\neg p \rightarrow q\}$
- $\Sigma \models_{[0,1]_{\mathbb{L}}} q$
- For every finite $\Sigma_0 \subseteq \Sigma$, $\Sigma \not\models_{[0,1]_{\mathbb{L}}} q$.
- $\Sigma \not\models_{\mathbb{L}} q$
- The same holds for $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{L}}^{\mathbb{Q}}$.